

LITERATURE.

A HISTORY OF THE PLOTS AND CRIMES OF THE GREAT CONSPIRACY TO OVERTHROW LIBERTY IN AMERICA. By John Smith Dye. Published by the Author: New York.

The work of Mr. Dye, whatever may be its defects, certainly presents to us phases of American history in a new and startling light. It is the object of the author to give, in a small compass, a complete history of the political crimes originating with or from slavery, and perpetrated by its friends, during the last century. And the field which the author designs to cover he has faithfully succeeded in going over. There appears to be no incident which bears the slightest resemblance to an asseration, which he has not sifted; and if the leaders of the pro-slavery faction have half the sins upon their souls which he imputes to their account, they will have a lead to sink them through all eternity. According to the writer's showing, an attempt was made to assassinate General Jackson, which was instigated by the South. While there can be no doubt that Lawrence really attempted his murder, yet we cannot see, from the evidence, that any connection between him and the nullifiers can be discovered. We doubt not that the spirit which will support the hideous crime of slavery would willingly remove any obstacle so slight as one human life; yet in the present case the evidence is insufficient. In the case of General Harrison a most clear case is made out. It would seem, from reviewing the facts, as we can now after the lapse of years, that it is at least not improbable that the President was actually removed by arsenic. All the proofs are arranged with great care and nicety, and make out a strong line of circumstantial evidence. We have also all the particulars of the death of General Taylor; but the same line of argument is used in his case as in that of "Tippecanoe," but not so strong an accusation secured. It is shown in the facts in the case of Mr. Buchanan, that not only was it the diabolical design of the murderers to poison, but that a hundred innocent victims were also included in the butchery. As it was, thirty-eight died from the poison at the National Hotel, and it was only by superhuman efforts that the life of the President was saved. The assassination of a year ago is given in detail, and the great plea of Judge Advocate Bingham given in full.

From such materials it was impossible that the author could fail to make an interesting work. He has treated the subject in a careful and picturesque style, and has succeeded in giving an extremely readable, even if not a very reliable work. As he proceeds he runs along with the history of the political struggle between slavery and freedom, detailing its progress with accuracy and makes a continuous narrative from the Declaration of Independence to the death of the President in April last. He has treated of the subject in a light in which it has not been examined before. It is original, and portions of it appeared strained to secure a verdict of probability more than the facts will allow. Appended to the work proper is a succinct narrative of the various assassinations of history, and the whole book terminates with a picture of President Johnson.

The production possesses merit which recommends it to the popular favor. Rather too prejudiced to be received with trusting confidence, and all its statements considered as facts, yet at the same time, whenever the issue is strained it is too clearly evident to cause any misapprehension on the part of the reader. We are assured it has had an immense sale, and it is probable that the demand for it will continue to increase the more its style and character are known.

—The Paris correspondent of the New York World writes:

"Fancy Reman's 'Life of Jesus' being read and annotated by Abel Kader! Strange though it may appear, it is, nevertheless, true, and Reman has had a personal interview with the Emir, who received him most hospitably—at least so says *L'Evening*, and we may believe it. I was wrong just now, when I stated that there was no present novelty in London. There are the readers of the Hon. Mrs. Teresa Yelverton—a name so well known in almost every corner of the globe that, in itself, it would be sufficient to give a chance to the piece selected here. I remark that the general conversation ran almost exclusively on one topic—Mrs. Yelverton—and I was glad to hear that each had a word of sympathy for one so cruelly, shamefully wronged, and whose future is blank and comfortless, for not one ray of hope remains that ever her constancy will be rewarded, that ever she can be happy with the man she loves in spite of fortune, time, or fate."

"The next volume of Napoleon's 'Vie de Cesar' is announced for May. The Emperor's constant orders are:—'Don't let any soul see the proofs! What do you think of that? The Paris Union announces also the appearance of a new Latin journal, under the title of *Apis Romana*. It is a good example, and commences well. Its first number contains some playful lines in praise of tobacco. A letter from Wilna announces a general conversion to Pndreisme, in Poland, from the Roman Catholic to the Orthodox Church.

"The Davenport brothers have announced a few seances in London. But the best anecdote of spiritualism we have heard for some time is the following:—A gentleman was asked if he would like to call a spirit. 'I should,' the gentleman replied. 'Whose?' asked the medium. 'Lindley Murray's!' Lindley Murray's ghost appeared erect, right through the table. The gentleman shuddered. All trembled. The medium was visibly affected. 'Are you the spirit of Lindley Murray?' asked the gentleman, astonished at his own courage in thus addressing a visitant of the lower world. 'Yes, I am!' boldly responded Lindley Murray's ghost. Poor Lindley Murray!

A Sale of Rare Autographs.

A large collection of valuable autographs was recently offered for sale in New York, in reference to which the *Tribune* says:

An autograph sale, boasting, in addition to considerable local interest, something like a world-wide variety of distinguished signatures, is infrequent even where sales of *curios* are so common as in New York. That at Messrs. Bangs & Merwin's on two evenings past was favored with a very fair attendance, though, remarkably, not with spirited bidding.

The autographs were advertised as one of the most important and valuable collections ever offered for sale in this country, and certainly their number, curiosity, and fame, might have justified a larger attendance of purchasers. The sale so far has disposed of only half the collection, which, it is worth while saying, is "warmed genuine and authentic," as well as classed and illustrated, and ranges from Revolutionary Colonels and Congressmen to Major-Generals, Chief Justices, Ambassadors, and Presidents; from Prime Ministers and Generalissimos to Archbishops, Kings, Queens, Emperors, and Popes; through the history, philosophy, and science, with Melancthon, Swedenborg, Leibnitz, D'Alembert, Champlain, Humboldt, Borlaha, and other great names; from Cramer and Lortzing to Bellini, Donizetti, and Mendelssohn, among musicians; and among historians, poets, novelists, and dramatists, such as Homer, Sismondi, Wieland, Jean Paul Richter, Zschokke, Voltaire, Tom Moore, and Wordsworth. The painter autographs are of Godfrey Kneller, Benjamin Day, Lewis Kneller, and Canova. There is a fragment of Spontini, which, for its genuineness, and is accompanied by a rare engraved portrait of the Empress Josephine's favorite *maestro* in his court dress. The autograph scores of Bellini and Lortzing have also appeared in the accompanying portraits which we very seldom see.

A letter scrap of Donizetti, written in a rapid and inky chirography, nicely s'roked and dotted, the name of the master easily legible, contrasts strongly with the accurate and regular. These autographs were each sold for \$1.25. The military lines of writings, sometimes as strategic and puzzling as any lover of the martial art could wish, extend from the simple six-manual Colonel E. H. Allen up to Washington, and back to Prince Eugene, Frederick the Great, Wallenstein, Tilley, Charles the Twelfth, and Gustavus Adolphus. Bidding, as we have said, was, upon the whole, tolerably common-place, which may be accounted for most naturally by the presence of the numerous famous autographs to the limited and democratic attendance. The low figures at which the death-dealing hands of famous kings and queens went off into possession of unknown collectors might be taken as a fact more mortifying to royalty in these days rather than the sale of the most abstruse historical knowledge on the part of our second-hand dealers. But the prices offered in many instances did not by any means impouch the value of the sale.

The division of the collection, including some quite rare autographs of American Colonial Governors and pre-Revolutionary nobles, sold briskly at prices varying from twenty-five cents to two dollars. Among these was a scarce writing of Robert B. Ingersoll, Governor of New York in 1712, and the author of a famous letter on "Enthusiasm," by some attributed to Swift, and by others to Shaftesbury; signatures of Sir George Clinton and the New Jersey prophets, Berkeley and Carteret; and some records and letters of the Governors of Massachusetts, Maryland, and North Carolina. Autographs of Revolutionary statesmen brought similar sums. A plain and scholarly script of John Jay was had aside for \$2, and a slight, scarce autograph of Patrick Henry brought no more. A long personal letter of Elias Boudinot, President of Congress, in 1782, was bought for \$1. Generals in the Revolution commanded growing prices. Colonel Allen's letter, dated during the war of Independence, was secured for \$4.25. A page by General Philip Schuyler, dated Moses Creek, 1777, complaining that his reputation suffers unjustly, was valued at \$9; a neatly written English letter of the Marquis de Lafayette to John Jacob Astor, and an admirable specimen of Ingersoll's handwriting, worth easily \$15, as high a sum as Washington's letter to General Morgan during the New Jersey campaign. This is a more rapid hand, it seems, than usual of the calm, precise, and straight-forward writing of the Commander-in-Chief, General Anthony Wayne's letter to Major J. H. Armstrong sold for \$9, and is a piece of strong and practical chirography.

Eight records, with signatures of three Dukes of Brunswick, including one of the late emperor, whose wonderful handwriting was copied a few years ago, and with signatures of five of the Danish kings, did not venture above \$1.75 apiece. Gustavus Adolphus' almost undecipherable scrawl, spiky-fingered, light, and graceful, reached only \$3; a still more imperfect autograph of Charles XII. was sold for \$1.50. Bernadotte's bold signature could command no more than 50 cents; that of Catharine II, the great and infamous of Russia, went off cheap at \$1; but the Swedish Queen, Christina, never recovered a cent. The autograph of the royal price of \$3.75. Maria Theresa's more modern and modern handwriting was rated no higher than a couple of dollars. Philip II, husband of "Bloody Mary," and the Emperor Charles the Fifth of Germany had no better luck.

An autograph of great variety, undoubtedly the handsomest and most characteristic of this part of the collection—that of Prince Alexander Giaschinski—was sold for \$1.25. Scraps of Wallenstein and Pindemonte, the portrait of Schiller's great drama about them, were held in no better respect. Frederick the Great, Alexander Farnese, Archduke Charles, and Marshal Blicher, were counted off soon at ignominious prices. A page of the Emperor's handwriting, with small and neat memorandum the curt signature of "Fritz." The graceful and expressive autograph of Prince Metternich, a letter accompanying a portrait of his clear and elegant features, was undoubtedly one of the rarest specimens offered for sale.

Of English autographs, those of Bolingbroke and General George Monk were rated highest at between \$1 and \$3. Signatures of Palmerston and Peel sold for 40 and 50 cents; a small manuscript of David Brainerd, the author of *George Crabbe* by his publisher, \$3, and another of Harriet Martineau to Mrs. Hale, were among the best bids. Among all the autographs none is more delicate and refined than Moore's, or so light and airy as Barry Cornwall's, which is a fine specimen of the poet's handwriting. The author of "Sir Charles Cavendish," etc., was prized at \$3.50, and David Hume at \$10. A small neat scrap of writing by Wordsworth was put away at \$2.75.

The next division of sale, including autographs of several of the Popes, and some handsome specimens of old-fashioned scholastic calligraphic—one of which purports to be a record of Alexander Borgia—was speedily gone through with at figures ranging from \$1 to \$3. The minute and graceful handwriting of Dante and a business letter of the celebrated Dr. Scarpa, scraps of the poets, Professor Vincent Monti, and Pindemonte, and a fragment with the name of Spontini, were each sold for twenty-five cents. Mendelssohn's handwriting, charmingly neat and tasteful. The engraved portraits which accompanies it gives a Jewish expression of feature which is absent from the late presentments of the ethereal composer. Chevalier Newkome writes delicately and elegantly. A German verse faintly written in faded paper and understood "Henrietta Rossi," is a pretty little memorial of the fascinating Madame Sontag. What would be thought far rarer than all these is a semi-political record of Carlo Broechi, or Farinelli, the celebrated castrato, who was the favorite minister of the Spanish King Philip Fifth. Donizetti's hand, or all the musical autographs, apparently wears the most active nerve and character. None of these sold for more than \$1.25, and it is among them Souther's *Life of Czar* and a note of Lorenzini (composer of Czar and Zimmerman)—were obtained for a mere trifle.

The engraved portraits which go with the greater part of the autographs somewhat enhance their value. It is not so often that a coolness of the polished but unlovely Metternich reaches us, or that we can get a fair idea of the saturnine, grim visages of some of the old German Kaisers, or the high-browed, severe-featured, sad face of Wallenstein, or the cynic and good-guy Charles Twelfth. Although these portraits may not be extraordinarily rare, and their like may be found among the spoils of antique volumes at many second-hand bookstores, their presence among the autographs witnesses good sense and considerable research.

The auction of last evening disposed of fifty or sixty autographs of the most celebrated German scholars and poets. An interesting scientific and literary letter of Alexander von Humboldt was sold for \$2.50, and autographs of Leibniz, Neander, and Pestalozzi. For the auto-

graph of the poet and romancer, Baron Fogue, the comparatively fair price of \$2.50 was given. Mathison, the poet of Beethoven's "Adelaide," staked himself away for 35 cents, and so with Count de Stolberg Zochoke. Handsome specimens of Jean Paul and Wieland went off at \$2.75. Among signers of the Declaration, Franklin and Jefferson were complimented with bids of \$13 and \$8. A record of Louis XIV, royally signed and sealed, brought \$2.25, and a holograph letter of the world Baron Trunk, \$5.50. Twenty autographs of Napoleon's Marshals, including Ney and Murat, were talked away at \$2.50, and an abbreviated signature of Napoleon the Great, in his usual Imperial character, was purchased for \$13.50. The rest of the autographs of the Bonaparte family were sold at prices varying between \$1 and \$3. The fact that these autographs cannot be readily identified will explain the curiousness of some of the figures.

The buyers appear to have been guided entirely by the advice of the catalogue in their choice, if not appreciation of manuscript treasures, without, however, knowing exactly value. It is undeniable that well-written autographs bring the most money—a fact which the great men who will hereafter supply the American market ought to bear in mind.

THE NEW YORK PRESS.

EDITORIAL OPINIONS OF LEADING JOURNALS UPON CURRENT TOPICS.

COMPILED EVERY DAY FOR EVENING TELEGRAPH.

General Sheridan's Testimony.

Although the testimony of Major-General Sheridan communicated to the Secret Committee of Fifteen omits many points in regard to which the country would have been glad to have his views, it is full enough to show that, in the main, he agrees with the other distinguished soldiers whose opinions upon the reconstruction question had been previously reported. The States of which General Sheridan undertakes to speak are Louisiana, Florida, and Texas, and the impression his words produce is quite as favorable as reasonable men could expect it to be. It is evidently discriminating, too, and, therefore, more likely to command confidence in its truthfulness. Thus, as we understand his answers to the queries propounded, the popular sentiment of Florida is more cordial in its loyalty than that of the interior of Louisiana, whilst that of Texas is less favorable. Analyze his statements, however, and the causes of difference become apparent. Louisiana suffered more than Florida during the war, and consequently many of her people labor under the irritation produced by the loss of property. Texas, on the other hand, having made money by the war, is exempt from the depressing influences which are felt in Louisiana. But neither laxness clings to it, and renders necessary the presence of a military force.

Respective of the special circumstances adverted to by General Sheridan, we have to say concerning the prevailing public feeling in the Southwest tallies with the statements of the special correspondent who recently represented the *Times* in that section. The general tells the story of the war, and accepts the situation, and has an earnest desire for the restoration of a perfect Union; that "the feeling and sentiment of those with in the limit of his command, though not entirely satisfactory, "as is good, perhaps, as could be expected;" that in his statements, however, and the causes of good feeling toward the Government, and what more, consistently with reason, could we at this moment ask.

So far as the negroes are concerned, General Sheridan deemed the maintenance of the Freedmen's Bureau expedient for a time. But he emphatically rejects the radical policy as fraught with mischief to the negro himself. "I believe," declares the General, "that the best thing that Congress or States can do is to legislate as liberally as possible, and to give the colored man, beyond giving him security in his person and property. His social status," he adds, "will be worked out by the logic of the necessity for his labor." Here is a belief founded upon exact and unbiased observation, and in direct opposition to the theorizing of the Congress and Stevens, who, under the pretense of elevating the negro, would bring upon him endless disasters. President Johnson was not far astray when he told the colored people of Washington that the negroes were the poorest and most degraded of the human race, and that the cry for negro suffrage for their own partisan advantage.

The Consolidated National Debt.

We trust the Finance Committee of the Senate will lose no time in considering Mr. Sherman's bill for consolidating the debt, and reporting it to Congress. The country needs a thorough financial plan, and we know of none that would be more generally acceptable than this. An objection is made to the fourth section of the bill on the ground that it takes an undue advantage of holders of Seven-thirties, by compelling them to decide six months before maturity whether they will accept another form of loan or national currency for their securities. We think it bad policy for a Government to take a course which by any means could be construed into an attempt to drive a sharp bargain. But, at the same time, the Government must adopt a measure of self-protection. Time will be necessary to provide the means for the redemption of Seven-thirties as they mature. There are over \$800,000,000 of Seven-thirties to be redeemed in some way, and in a very short time. We cannot raise this money by any system of direct taxation, and the only other resource is the necessity of providing \$800,000,000 of currency, and at the same time in five-twentyes, to be ready upon the day the Seven-thirties mature, so as to be prepared for any occasion the holders may make, is to issue a new form of national currency. The money market cannot but suffer from the ignorance of the Department and the indecision of note holders. If the money value of the Seven-thirties is then upon the market in currency, we shall have a period of inflation more extended, and, in the end, more disastrous than at any time of the war. If we confine ourselves to five-twentyes as the only loan to be taken by those who returned the Seven-thirties, and took an abundance of money, we merely assume a new form of inflation, and do the amount of money and of bonds it will have to provide. There will be no chance to create a panic or to denationalize the business of the country. At the same time, this fourth section is merely a matter of convenience, and not an essential part of the bill, and we are not anxious about its fate. We wish to see the Government reduce the rate of interest, and thus save 10% of the amount of the interest to be paid upon the debt. In the second place, apply the same principle to the payment of the national debt. As we showed yesterday, by negotiating \$2,000,000,000 of a five per cent. loan, and applying one per cent. of that amount with compound interest for forty years, we shall be able to pay off \$2,000,000,000 of the national

debt about the end of the present century. For this reason, we were willing to accept a thirty or forty year loan. To provide against any contingency, however, and to make the job of paying on debt as easy as possible to our children, we should be willing to accept a longer loan than that provided by Mr. Sherman's bill—fifty years, for instance. The main points upon which we feel like insisting are—

I. That the six per cent. and seven and three-tenths per cent. loans shall be converted into five per cent. loans so soon as the terms of their creation will permit.

II. That the Government shall not pay more than five per cent. for money advanced to it by countries, without one-half of our resources, can obtain it at a lower rate.

III. That the loan shall be for as brief a period as prudence will permit.

The Government should also be created, to show that we are sincerely anxious to pay our debt in time, and that, as an earnest of our faith, we provide means for doing it.

The fear that we cannot negotiate this loan at five per cent. is unfounded. If we could borrow at six per cent. for one year, we could borrow at five per cent. in time of war, we can certainly reduce the rate to five per cent. in time of peace, and the country rapidly increasing in wealth, and a fair plan of taxation. Those who have a contrary opinion do injustice to the spirit of the American people and the genius of our financiers. We venture to say that Jay Cooke, for instance, our faithful agent in the days of trouble, could be induced to do this at five per cent. for one year. The Five-twenty-loans was as low as 93 during the war. The seven-thirties could have been bought for 96 not many months ago. The Ten-forties, a five per cent. loan, sold at 95 yesterday—about as much as the seven-thirties commanded at Christmastime. The Government could borrow as much now as a seven-thirties six months ago, it requires very little calculation to show that it may be made far before the seven-thirties begin to mature. Let Congress pass Mr. Sherman's bill, and the Secretary of the Treasury put a five per cent. forty or fifty year consolidated loan on the market, in the hands of a capable and patriotic agent and we venture to say that it will be at far before many weeks. The plan seems to be so full of common sense that under any sagacious banker should oppose it.

Reconstruction—The President and the Committee of Fifteen.

From the Herald. Congress made war on the President as one who had gone against the true interests of the country. Prominent men in the Republican party denounced the President as a traitor, and the chosen phrasemonger of that party declared that Andrew Johnson had given up to the South the fruits of the Northern victory; that he had shorn our triumph of all that made it valuable. On the floor of Congress he was anathematized as an "executive usurper and despot." He was charged with an intention to destroy the Constitution by substituting for its equitable distribution of political power a "one man show." He was habitually called, in entire disregard of public decorum, "the man at the other end of the avenue," and in the Senate a member blasphemously thanked God for the visitation of illness upon the President, so far as he could see, the President had been engaged only in one great labor—that of restoring the Southern States to their relations with the Union. But this the people thought was carrying to its ultimate result the great purpose for which the country had gone to war, and therefore they thought his efforts at reconstruction entitled only to praise, and to the more praise as they were evidently successful. However, trusting the party which seats men who would, in the present, have voted to sustain the President, judging from all the obloquy thus heaped upon the President, the country naturally thought that he had been guilty of some gross act. The people, it is true did not know the radical purpose for which the country had gone to war, and therefore they thought his efforts at reconstruction entitled only to praise, and to the more praise as they were evidently successful. However, trusting the party which seats men who would, in the present, have voted to sustain the President, judging from all the obloquy thus heaped upon the President, the country naturally thought that he had been guilty of some gross act. The people, it is true did not know the radical purpose for which the country had gone to war, and therefore they thought his efforts at reconstruction entitled only to praise, and to the more praise as they were evidently successful. However, trusting the party which seats men who would, in the present, have voted to sustain the President, judging from all the obloquy thus heaped upon the President, the country naturally thought that he had been guilty of some gross act. The people, it is true did not know the radical purpose for which the country had gone to war, and therefore they thought his efforts at reconstruction entitled only to praise, and to the more praise as they were evidently successful. However, trusting the party which seats men who would, in the present, have voted to sustain the President, judging from all the obloquy thus heaped upon the President, the country naturally thought that he had been guilty of some gross act. The people, it is true did not know the radical purpose for which the country had gone to war, and therefore they thought his efforts at reconstruction entitled only to praise, and to the more praise as they were evidently successful. However, trusting the party which seats men who would, in the present, have voted to sustain the President, judging from all the obloquy thus heaped upon the President, the country naturally thought that he had been guilty of some gross act. The people, it is true did not know the radical purpose for which the country had gone to war, and therefore they thought his efforts at reconstruction entitled only to praise, and to the more praise as they were evidently successful. However, trusting the party which seats men who would, in the present, have voted to sustain the President, judging from all the obloquy thus heaped upon the President, the country naturally thought that he had been guilty of some gross act. The people, it is true did not know the radical purpose for which the country had gone to war, and therefore they thought his efforts at reconstruction entitled only to praise, and to the more praise as they were evidently successful. However, trusting the party which seats men who would, in the present, have voted to sustain the President, judging from all the obloquy thus heaped upon the President, the country naturally thought that he had been guilty of some gross act. The people, it is true did not know the radical purpose for which the country had gone to war, and therefore they thought his efforts at reconstruction entitled only to praise, and to the more praise as they were evidently successful. However, trusting the party which seats men who would, in the present, have voted to sustain the President, judging from all the obloquy thus heaped upon the President, the country naturally thought that he had been guilty of some gross act. The people, it is true did not know the radical purpose for which the country had gone to war, and therefore they thought his efforts at reconstruction entitled only to praise, and to the more praise as they were evidently successful. However, trusting the party which seats men who would, in the present, have voted to sustain the President, judging from all the obloquy thus heaped upon the President, the country naturally thought that he had been guilty of some gross act. The people, it is true did not know the radical purpose for which the country had gone to war, and therefore they thought his efforts at reconstruction entitled only to praise, and to the more praise as they were evidently successful. However, trusting the party which seats men who would, in the present, have voted to sustain the President, judging from all the obloquy thus heaped upon the President, the country naturally thought that he had been guilty of some gross act. The people, it is true did not know the radical purpose for which the country had gone to war, and therefore they thought his efforts at reconstruction entitled only to praise, and to the more praise as they were evidently successful. However, trusting the party which seats men who would, in the present, have voted to sustain the President, judging from all the obloquy thus heaped upon the President, the country naturally thought that he had been guilty of some gross act. The people, it is true did not know the radical purpose for which the country had gone to war, and therefore they thought his efforts at reconstruction entitled only to praise, and to the more praise as they were evidently successful. However, trusting the party which seats men who would, in the present, have voted to sustain the President, judging from all the obloquy thus heaped upon the President, the country naturally thought that he had been guilty of some gross act. The people, it is true did not know the radical purpose for which the country had gone to war, and therefore they thought his efforts at reconstruction entitled only to praise, and to the more praise as they were evidently successful. However, trusting the party which seats men who would, in the present, have voted to sustain the President, judging from all the obloquy thus heaped upon the President, the country naturally thought that he had been guilty of some gross act. The people, it is true did not know the radical purpose for which the country had gone to war, and therefore they thought his efforts at reconstruction entitled only to praise, and to the more praise as they were evidently successful. However, trusting the party which seats men who would, in the present, have voted to sustain the President, judging from all the obloquy thus heaped upon the President, the country naturally thought that he had been guilty of some gross act. The people, it is true did not know the radical purpose for which the country had gone to war, and therefore they thought his efforts at reconstruction entitled only to praise, and to the more praise as they were evidently successful. However, trusting the party which seats men who would, in the present, have voted to sustain the President, judging from all the obloquy thus heaped upon the President, the country naturally thought that he had been guilty of some gross act. The people, it is true did not know the radical purpose for which the country had gone to war, and therefore they thought his efforts at reconstruction entitled only to praise, and to the more praise as they were evidently successful. However, trusting the party which seats men who would, in the present, have voted to sustain the President, judging from all the obloquy thus heaped upon the President, the country naturally thought that he had been guilty of some gross act. The people, it is true did not know the radical purpose for which the country had gone to war, and therefore they thought his efforts at reconstruction entitled only to praise, and to the more praise as they were evidently successful. However, trusting the party which seats men who would, in the present, have voted to sustain the President, judging from all the obloquy thus heaped upon the President, the country naturally thought that he had been guilty of some gross act. The people, it is true did not know the radical purpose for which the country had gone to war, and therefore they thought his efforts at reconstruction entitled only to praise, and to the more praise as they were evidently successful. However, trusting the party which seats men who would, in the present, have voted to sustain the President, judging from all the obloquy thus heaped upon the President, the country naturally thought that he had been guilty of some gross act. The people, it is true did not know the radical purpose for which the country had gone to war, and therefore they thought his efforts at reconstruction entitled only to praise, and to the more praise as they were evidently successful. However, trusting the party which seats men who would, in the present, have voted to sustain the President, judging from all the obloquy thus heaped upon the President, the country naturally thought that he had been guilty of some gross act. The people, it is true did not know the radical purpose for which the country had gone to war, and therefore they thought his efforts at reconstruction entitled only to praise, and to the more praise as they were evidently successful. However, trusting the party which seats men who would, in the present, have voted to sustain the President, judging from all the obloquy thus heaped upon the President, the country naturally thought that he had been guilty of some gross act. The people, it is true did not know the radical purpose for which the country had gone to war, and therefore they thought his efforts at reconstruction entitled only to praise, and to the more praise as they were evidently successful. However, trusting the party which seats men who would, in the present, have voted to sustain the President, judging from all the obloquy thus heaped upon the President, the country naturally thought that he had been guilty of some gross act. The people, it is true did not know the radical purpose for which the country had gone to war, and therefore they thought his efforts at reconstruction entitled only to praise, and to the more praise as they were evidently successful. However, trusting the party which seats men who would, in the present, have voted to sustain the President, judging from all the obloquy thus heaped upon the President, the country naturally thought that he had been guilty of some gross act. The people, it is true did not know the radical purpose for which the country had gone to war, and therefore they thought his efforts at reconstruction entitled only to praise, and to the more praise as they were evidently successful. However, trusting the party which seats men who would, in the present, have voted to sustain the President, judging from all the obloquy thus heaped upon the President, the country naturally thought that he had been guilty of some gross act. The people, it is true did not know the radical purpose for which the country had gone to war, and therefore they thought his efforts at reconstruction entitled only to praise, and to the more praise as they were evidently successful. However, trusting the party which seats men who would, in the present, have voted to sustain the President, judging from all the obloquy thus heaped upon the President, the country naturally thought that he had been guilty of some gross act. The people, it is true did not know the radical purpose for which the country had gone to war, and therefore they thought his efforts at reconstruction entitled only to praise, and to the more praise as they were evidently successful. However, trusting the party which seats men who would, in the present, have voted to sustain the President, judging from all the obloquy thus heaped upon the President, the country naturally thought that he had been guilty of some gross act. The people, it is true did not know the radical purpose for which the country had gone to war, and therefore they thought his efforts at reconstruction entitled only to praise, and to the more praise as they were evidently successful. However, trusting the party which seats men who would, in the present, have voted to sustain the President, judging from all the obloquy thus heaped upon the President, the country naturally thought that he had been guilty of some gross act. The people, it is true did not know the radical purpose for which the country had gone to war, and therefore they thought his efforts at reconstruction entitled only to praise, and to the more praise as they were evidently successful. However, trusting the party which seats men who would, in the present, have voted to sustain the President, judging from all the obloquy thus heaped upon the President, the country naturally thought that he had been guilty of some gross act. The people, it is true did not know the radical purpose for which the country had gone to war, and therefore they thought his efforts at reconstruction entitled only to praise, and to the more praise as they were evidently successful. However, trusting the party which seats men who would, in the present, have voted to sustain the President, judging from all the obloquy thus heaped upon the President, the country naturally thought that he had been guilty of some gross act. The people, it is true did not know the radical purpose for which the country had gone to war, and therefore they thought his efforts at reconstruction entitled only to praise, and to the more praise as they were evidently successful. However, trusting the party which seats men who would, in the present, have voted to sustain the President, judging from all the obloquy thus heaped upon the President, the country naturally thought that he had been guilty of some gross act. The people, it is true did not know the radical purpose for which the country had gone to war, and therefore they thought his efforts at reconstruction entitled only to praise, and to the more praise as they were evidently successful. However, trusting the party which seats men who would, in the present, have voted to sustain the President, judging from all the obloquy thus heaped upon the President, the country naturally thought that he had been guilty of some gross act. The people, it is true did not know the radical purpose for which the country had gone to war, and therefore they thought his efforts at reconstruction entitled only to praise, and to the more praise as they were evidently successful. However, trusting the party which seats men who would, in the present, have voted to sustain the President, judging from all the obloquy thus heaped upon the President, the country naturally thought that he had been guilty of some gross act. The people, it is true did not know the radical purpose for which the country had gone to war, and therefore they thought his efforts at reconstruction entitled only to praise, and to the more praise as they were evidently successful. However, trusting the party which seats men who would, in the present, have voted to sustain the President, judging from all the obloquy thus heaped upon the President, the country naturally thought that he had been guilty of some gross act. The people, it is true did not know the radical purpose for which the country had gone to war, and therefore they thought his efforts at reconstruction entitled only to praise, and to the more praise as they were evidently successful. However, trusting the party which seats men who would, in the present, have voted to sustain the President, judging from all the obloquy thus heaped upon the President, the country naturally thought that he had been guilty of some gross act. The people, it is true did not know the radical purpose for which the country had gone to war, and therefore they thought his efforts at reconstruction entitled only to praise, and to the more praise as they were evidently successful. However, trusting the party which seats men who would, in the present, have voted to sustain the President, judging from all the obloquy thus heaped upon the President, the country naturally thought that he had been guilty of some gross act. The people, it is true did not know the radical purpose for which the country had gone to war, and therefore they thought his efforts at reconstruction entitled only to praise, and to the more praise as they were evidently successful. However, trusting the party which seats men who would, in the present, have voted to sustain the President, judging from all the obloquy thus heaped upon the President, the country naturally thought that he had been guilty of some gross act. The people, it is true did not know the radical purpose for which the country had gone to war, and therefore they thought his efforts at reconstruction entitled only to praise, and to the more praise as they were evidently successful. However, trusting the party which seats men who would, in the present, have voted to sustain the President, judging from all the obloquy thus heaped upon the President, the country naturally thought that he had been guilty of some gross act. The people, it is true did not know the radical purpose for which the country had gone to war, and therefore they thought his efforts at reconstruction entitled only to praise, and to the more praise as they were evidently successful. However, trusting the party which seats men who would, in the present, have voted to sustain the President, judging from all the obloquy thus heaped upon the President, the country naturally thought that he had been guilty of some gross act. The people, it is true did not know the radical purpose for which the country had gone to war, and therefore they thought his efforts at reconstruction entitled only to praise, and to the more praise as they were evidently successful. However, trusting the party which seats men who would, in the present, have voted to sustain the President, judging from all the obloquy thus heaped upon the President, the country naturally thought that he had been guilty of some gross act. The people, it is true did not know the radical purpose for which the country had gone to war, and therefore they thought his efforts at reconstruction entitled only to praise, and to the more praise as they were evidently successful. However, trusting the party which seats men who would, in the present, have voted to sustain the President, judging from all the obloquy thus heaped upon the President, the country naturally thought that he had been guilty of some gross act. The people, it is true did not know the radical purpose for which the country had gone to war, and therefore they thought his efforts at reconstruction entitled only to praise, and to the more praise as they were evidently successful. However, trusting the party which seats men who would, in the present, have voted to sustain the President, judging from all the obloquy thus heaped upon the President, the country naturally thought that he had been guilty of some gross act. The people, it is true did not know the radical purpose for which the country had gone to war, and therefore they thought his efforts at reconstruction entitled only to praise, and to the more praise as they were evidently successful. However, trusting the party which seats men who would, in the present, have voted to sustain the President, judging from all the obloquy thus heaped upon the President, the country naturally thought that he had been guilty of some gross act. The people, it is true did not know the radical purpose for which the country had gone to war, and therefore they thought his efforts at reconstruction entitled only to praise, and to the more praise as they were evidently successful. However, trusting the party which seats men who would, in the present, have voted to sustain the President, judging from all the obloquy thus heaped upon the President, the country naturally thought that he had been guilty of some gross act. The people, it is true did not know the radical purpose for which the country had gone to war, and therefore they thought his efforts at reconstruction entitled only to praise, and to the more praise as they were evidently successful. However, trusting the party which seats men who would, in the present, have voted to sustain the President, judging from all the obloquy thus heaped upon the President, the country naturally thought that he had been guilty of some gross act. The people, it is true did not know the radical purpose for which the country had gone to war, and therefore they thought his efforts at reconstruction entitled only to praise, and to the more praise as they were evidently successful. However, trusting the party which seats men who would, in the present, have voted to sustain the President, judging from all the obloquy thus heaped upon the President, the country naturally thought that he had been guilty of some gross act. The people, it is true did not know the radical purpose for which the country had gone to war, and therefore they thought his efforts at reconstruction entitled only to praise, and to the more praise as they were evidently successful. However, trusting the party which seats men who would, in the present, have voted to sustain the President, judging from all the obloquy thus heaped upon the President, the country naturally thought that he had been guilty of some gross act. The people, it is true did not know the radical purpose for which the country had gone to war, and therefore they thought his efforts at reconstruction entitled only to praise, and to the more praise as they were evidently successful. However, trusting the party which seats men who would, in the present, have voted to sustain the President, judging from all the obloquy thus heaped upon the President, the country naturally thought that he had been guilty of some gross act. The people, it is true did not know the radical purpose for which the country had gone to war, and therefore they thought his efforts at reconstruction entitled only to praise, and to the more praise as they were evidently successful. However, trusting the party which seats men who would, in the present, have voted to sustain the President, judging from all the obloquy thus heaped upon the President, the country naturally thought that he had been guilty of some gross act. The people, it is true did not know the radical purpose for which the country had gone to war, and therefore they thought his efforts at reconstruction entitled only to praise, and to the more praise as they were evidently successful. However, trusting the party which seats men who would, in the present, have voted to sustain the President, judging from all the obloquy thus heaped upon the President, the country naturally thought that he had been guilty of some gross act. The people, it is true did not know the radical purpose for which the country had gone to war, and therefore they thought his efforts at reconstruction entitled only to praise, and to the more praise as they were evidently successful. However, trusting the party which seats men who would, in the present, have voted to sustain the President, judging from all the obloquy thus heaped upon the President, the country naturally thought that he had been guilty of some gross act. The people, it is true did not know the radical purpose for which the country had gone to war, and therefore they thought his efforts at reconstruction entitled only to praise, and to the more praise as they were evidently successful. However, trusting the party which seats men who would, in the present, have voted to sustain the President, judging from all the obloquy thus heaped upon the President, the country naturally thought that he had been guilty of some gross act. The people, it is true did not know the radical purpose for which the country had gone to war, and therefore they thought his efforts at reconstruction entitled only to praise, and to the more praise as they were evidently successful. However, trusting the party which seats men who would, in the present, have voted to sustain the President, judging from all the obloquy thus heaped upon the President, the country naturally thought that he had been guilty of some gross act. The people, it is true did not know the radical purpose for which the country had gone to war, and therefore they thought his efforts at reconstruction entitled only to praise, and to the more praise as they were evidently successful. However, trusting the party which seats men who would, in the present, have voted to sustain the President, judging from all the obloquy thus heaped upon the President, the country naturally thought that he had been guilty of some gross act. The people, it is true did not know the radical purpose for which the country had gone to war, and therefore they thought his efforts at reconstruction entitled only to praise, and to the more praise as they were evidently successful. However, trusting the party which seats men who would, in the present, have voted to sustain the President, judging from all the obloquy thus heaped upon the President, the country naturally thought that he had been guilty of some gross act. The people, it is true did not know the radical purpose for which the country had gone to war, and therefore they thought his efforts at reconstruction entitled only to praise, and to the more praise as they were evidently successful. However, trusting the party which seats men who would, in the present, have voted to sustain the President, judging from all the obloquy thus heaped upon the President, the country naturally thought that he had been guilty of some gross act. The people, it is true did not know the radical purpose for which the country had gone to war, and therefore they thought his efforts at reconstruction entitled only to praise, and to the more praise as they were evidently successful. However, trusting the party which seats men who would, in the present, have voted to sustain the President, judging from all the obloquy thus heaped upon the President, the country naturally thought that he had been guilty of some gross act. The people, it is true did not know the radical purpose for which the country had gone to war, and therefore they thought his efforts at reconstruction entitled only to praise, and to the more praise as they were evidently successful. However, trusting the party which seats men who would, in the present, have voted to sustain the President, judging from all the obloquy thus heaped upon the President, the country naturally thought that he